

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/698,614		10/31/2003	Andrew W. Marsden	20341-72636	20341-72636 9862	
23643	7590	07/17/2006		EXAM	EXAMINER	
	BARNES & THORNBURG				SMALLEY, JAMES N	
INDIANAP			·	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				3727		
				DATE MAIL ED: 07/17/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	k	
	10/698,614	MARSDEN ET AL.		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	James N. Smalley	3727		
The MAILING DATE of this communication a	•			
Period for Reply		•		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory peri - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by sta Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the may earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a replication will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH tute, cause the application to become ABAN	ATION. y be timely filed IS from the mailing date of this communication. IDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status				
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 25	5 April 2006			
	his action is non-final.			
3) Since this application is in condition for allow		s prosecution as to the merits is		
closed in accordance with the practice unde	·	·		
·	panca quay.o, .oco c.z.	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,		
Disposition of Claims				
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-24 and 27-36</u> is/are pending in the	• •			
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd	frawn from consideration.			
5) Claim(s) <u>1-24 and 30-36</u> is/are allowed.				
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>27 and 28</u> is/are rejected. 7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>29</u> is/are objected to.	•			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	d/or election requirement			
o) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and				
Application Papers				
9) The specification is objected to by the Exam	iner.			
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a	accepted or b) objected to by	the Examiner.		
Applicant may not request that any objection to t	he drawing(s) be held in abeyance	e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).		
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corr		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attached (Office Action or form PTO-152.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for forei	ign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 1	19(a)-(d) or (f).		
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:				
1. Certified copies of the priority docume	ents have been received.			
2. Certified copies of the priority docume	ents have been received in App	olication No		
Copies of the certified copies of the p	riority documents have been re	ceived in this National Stage		
application from the International Bure	eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).			
* See the attached detailed Office action for a I	list of the certified copies not re	ceived.		
Attachment(s)				
1) Motice of References Cited (PTO-892)		nmary (PTO-413)		
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/ 		Mail Date rmal Patent Application (PTO-152)		
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:			

Application/Control Number: 10/698,614 Page 2

Art Unit: 3727

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

1. Due to the new grounds of rejection, this action is Non-Final.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

3. Claims 27-28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Isreal US 1,471,793 in view of Robertson US 2,469,856.

Israel '793 teaches a container (1) which is capable of storing liquid, a closure (2), a container which is capable of storing medicine (12), and an annular rim (6) which is capable of functioning as a stabalizer. The reference fails to teach a spout.

Robertson '856 teaches a multi-compartment container, and teaches the difference between a plug-type closure in figures 10-11 - - similar to those used in Israel '793 - - with a pivotable closure secured to a spout in figures 2 and 4. Examiner cites *The American Heritage® Dictionary of the English Language, Fourth Edition Copyright* © 2000 by Houghton Mifflin Company, online at http://www.dictionary.com, gives the primary definition of "spout" as a noun as:

"A tube, mouth, or pipe through which liquid is released or discharged."

Thus, it is clear that the annular rim about (17) of Robertson '856 comprises a "spout."

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill at the time the invention was made to modify the closing means of the container of Israel '793, providing a spout and pivotable closure, as taught to be a known equivalent structure by Robertson '856, motivated by the benefit of providing secure closing means for the container compartments.

Art Unit: 3727

It has been held that a recitation with respect to the manner in which a claimed apparatus is intended to be employed does not differentiate the claimed apparatus from a prior art apparatus satisfying the claimed structural limitations. *Ex parte Masham*, 2 USPQ2d 1647 (1987).

Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-24 and 27-36 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 5. Claim 29 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 6. Claims 1-24 and 30-36 are allowed.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to James N. Smalley whose telephone number is (571) 272-4547. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th 9-6:30, Alternate Fri 9-5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor,

Nathan Newhouse can be reached on (571) 272-4544. The fax phone number for the organization where
this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/698,614

Art Unit: 3727

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application

Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from

either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through

Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC)

at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative

or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-

1000.

NATHAN J. NEWHOUSE SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

Page 4

jns